DEVELOPING TONAL NUANCE AND ORNAMENTATION IN ACADEMIC GIJJAK PERFORMANCE TRAINING

Nasimxon Madaminov
Kokand State University

Abstract: The gijjak, a traditional Uzbek spike fiddle, occupies a central role in maqom performance, yet its integration into university music education has created a pedagogical tension between oral transmission and notation-based instruction. This study investigates methods for developing tonal nuance and ornamentation - specifically the taflis, qashirish, and tebranma - within academic gijjak training. Using a mixed-methods design, data were collected from six master instructors and twelve undergraduate performance majors across three Uzbek higher education institutions through interviews, video-recorded lessons, comparative listening exercises, and analysis of method books. Results revealed that students relying solely on notated scores produced ornamentation with low accuracy (mean score 2.4 out of 5), characterized by mechanical execution and misapplication of vibrato types. A one-week aural immersion intervention using archival recordings of master performers significantly improved ornament execution scores to 4.1 out of 5, with the largest gains observed among students lacking prior listening exposure. Observational data identified critical physical misalignments, including excessive bow-hand tension and incorrect left-hand rolling motion for tebranma, which were addressed effectively through non-verbal kinesthetic correction rather than verbal explanation. The study concludes that academic gijjak pedagogy requires a fundamental rebalancing of notation and aurality, advocating for notation-delayed instruction, structured ear-training modules, and anatomy-informed technical exercises. These findings have implications for the preservation of embodied knowledge within institutionalized traditional music education across Central Asia.

Keywords: gijjak pedagogy, Uzbek traditional music, tonal nuance, ornamentation, oral transmission, maqom performance

Introduction

The gijjak, a revered spike fiddle central to Uzbek classical maqom and folk instrumental traditions, occupies a unique position within the soundscape of Central Asian music. Its timbre, often described as simultaneously vocal and ethereal, is produced by a combination of a metal spike, a carved wooden soundbox typically covered with animal skin, and a horsehair bow that passes between the instrument's two or three strings. Unlike the vertical posture of the European violin, the gijjak is held horizontally, resting on the knee or lap, which fundamentally alters the mechanics of bow pressure, left-hand finger placement, and the production of ornamental gestures. Over the past half-century, the institutionalization of Uzbek traditional music within state conservatories and universities has transformed the gijjak's transmission from an exclusively oral, master-apprentice (ustoz-shogird) model to a hybrid system incorporating written notation, graded examinations, and curriculum-based instruction. While this shift has enabled broader access and standardized technical benchmarks, it has also introduced a persistent pedagogical challenge: how to systematically teach and assess the deeply embodied skills of tonal nuance and

ornamentation - elements that are not merely decorative but constitutive of the gijjak's expressive identity. This article argues that developing tonal nuance and ornamentation in academic gijjak performance requires a pedagogy that bridges the implicit knowledge of oral tradition with explicit, anatomy-informed technical exercises and aural modeling. It examines the physiological foundations of tone production, classifies essential ornaments within the Bukhara-Shashmaqom repertoire, and proposes a structured training framework for university settings that prioritizes listening-based learning alongside notated materials.

Methods

This study employed a mixed-methods design integrating qualitative ethnographic observation, semi-structured interviews, and comparative analysis of pedagogical materials. Fieldwork was conducted between September 2023 and April 2024 at three institutions: the State Conservatory of Uzbekistan in Tashkent, the Fergana Regional College of Arts, and the Urgench Branch of the Uzbekistan State Institute of Arts and Culture. Participants included six master gijjak instructors (four male, two female) with an average of twenty-two years of teaching experience in higher education, as well as twelve third- and fourth-year undergraduate performance majors. Each instructor participated in two ninety-minute interviews focusing on their methods for teaching bow control, left-hand pressure, vibrato types, and ornaments such as the taflis (a descending turn), qashirish (a rapid adjacent-string brush), and tebranma (a wide, slow vibrato specific to long sustained tones). Additionally, three consecutive weekly lessons per instructor were video-recorded to capture real-time pedagogical interactions, corrective gestures, and the ratio of verbal explanation to aural demonstration. To supplement ethnographic data, the author analyzed five widely used university method books for gijjak published between 1985 and 2020, noting how each represented ornamentation in notation. Finally, a comparative listening exercise was conducted with the twelve student participants: each student performed a ten-measure excerpt from the Buzruk maqom section twice - first reading only from notated pitch and rhythm, then after a week of immersive listening to archival recordings of the late master Shavkat Mirzaev. Both performances were recorded and evaluated by a blinded panel of three independent gijjak experts using a rubric that separately scored intonation stability, bow pressure continuity, ornament execution, and overall tonal nuance. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the author's affiliated university, and all participants gave informed consent.

Results

Analysis of interview and observational data revealed a consistent tension among instructors between the necessity of notation for curriculum alignment and the insufficiency of notation for capturing ornamental detail. All six instructors reported that students who rely primarily on written scores produce "flat" or "dry" tones characterized by uniform bow speed, minimal finger pressure variation, and ornaments executed as mechanical rhythmic patterns rather than gestural inflections. In the comparative listening exercise, the twelve students' baseline performances (score-only preparation) received a mean ornament execution score of 2.4 out of 5, with the most common errors being the misplacement of taflis off the beat (occurring in 83% of performances) and the substitution of a narrow, rapid violin-style vibrato for the wider tebranma (observed in 92% of cases). After one week of focused aural immersion, the same students' mean ornament execution score rose to 4.1 out of 5, and the tebranma matching

archival models improved to 75% accuracy. Notably, students who had no prior exposure to archival recordings (three participants) showed the largest individual gains, improving from an average of 1.7 to 4.3.

Observational data further identified specific physical misalignments that impede tonal nuance. In the video recordings, eleven of the twelve students displayed a tendency to hold the bow with excessive index-finger tension near the frog, producing a scratchy attack and inhibiting the smooth qaytarma (bow retake) necessary for legato phrasing. Corrective gestures from instructors were predominantly nonverbal: in 87% of observed corrections, the instructor physically guided the student's bow hand or placed their own hand over the student's left finger to model pressure variation, rather than providing verbal anatomical explanation. This finding underscores the tacit, kinesthetic nature of gijjak nuance transmission. Analysis of method books revealed that only two of the five texts included any graphical indication of ornament execution beyond standard Western ornaments (trill, mordent), and none provided bowing diagrams or finger pressure gradients. Consequently, university instruction remains heavily dependent on live demonstration and imitation - a method that is effective in small student-to-teacher ratios but becomes diluted in classes exceeding five students.

Finally, instructors identified three tonal parameters as most critical for academic training: bow contact point modulation (shifting between the edge and center of the skin soundboard), left-finger rolling (rather than lifting) for pitch inflection, and dynamic shaping through bow weight rather than bow speed. These parameters were described by all six instructors as "untrainable through writing" and requiring a minimum of three years of supervised practice before reliable execution.

Discussion

The results demonstrate that developing tonal nuance and ornamentation in academic gijjak performance training is not a matter of adding more ornament exercises to a curriculum but rather of fundamentally reorienting the relationship between notation, aurality, and embodied technique. The significant improvement observed after just one week of immersive listening suggests that current university curricula underestimate the role of perceptual learning. In the traditional ustoz-shogird model, a student would spend months or years absorbing the master's playing through daily listening before attempting to replicate ornaments. The modern academic semester structure, with its pressure to cover repertoire and pass examinations, often reduces listening to a supplementary activity rather than a primary pedagogical tool. This study's findings support the integration of structured ear-training modules specifically designed for gijjak ornament recognition, including comparative listening quizzes, slowed-down playback for gesture analysis, and call-and-response sessions without notation.

Furthermore, the physical misalignments observed in bow hold and finger pressure point to a need for anatomy-informed technical exercises adapted specifically to the gijjak's horizontal playing posture. Unlike the violin, where the right arm operates with gravity-assisted downward bow strokes, the gijjak bow rests horizontally and requires subtle supination of the forearm to maintain consistent contact pressure across the full bow length. A proposed exercise derived from instructor interviews is the "sustained tone on open string with variable contact point," in which the student produces a single breath-length tone while slowly moving the bow contact from the skin's edge to its center and back, listening for the timbral shift from bright and nasal

to warm and dark. This exercise, repeated daily for three months, was reported by four instructors to reliably eliminate scratchy attacks. For ornamentation, the tebranma requires specific left-hand training: rather than the vertical finger pulse used in Western vibrato, the gijjak's tebranma involves a rolling motion of the entire hand around the instrument's neck, facilitated by the horizontal hold. The most effective teaching method observed involved having the student place the left thumb lightly on the neck's side and rotate the forearm in small ellipses while the finger remains in contact with the string - a motion that cannot be notated but can be transmitted through guided repetition.

The implication for university pedagogy is that notation should be repositioned as a memory aid and structural map rather than a prescriptive source of nuance. The current practice of distributing fully notated scores at the beginning of a term encourages students to bypass aural modeling. An alternative model, piloted informally by two instructors in this study and described during interviews, involves withholding notation for the first four weeks of learning a new maqom section. During this period, students learn entirely by listening to archival and live recordings, imitating phrases by ear, and receiving corrective feedback on bowing and ornament gestures. Notation is introduced only after the student can perform the section from memory with approximately seventy percent of the required nuance, at which point it serves to solidify memory and clarify structural analysis. While this model requires more instructor time for live demonstration, it aligns with the cognitive principle that auditory-motor integration is most effective when visual notation does not compete for attentional resources during initial skill acquisition.

Several limitations of this study warrant acknowledgment. The small sample size (six instructors, twelve students) limits generalizability, and the one-week listening intervention, while statistically significant, does not demonstrate long-term retention of ornamental accuracy. Additionally, the study did not control for prior aural training or years of instrumental study, which may have influenced the magnitude of improvement. Future research should examine longitudinal outcomes of notation-delayed pedagogy across a full academic year and should include neurophysiological measures of auditory-motor coupling during ornament execution. Comparative studies with other Central Asian bowed instruments, such as the Kyrgyz kyl-kyak or the Turkmen gyjak, would also clarify whether the present findings reflect instrument-specific constraints or broader principles of oral-to-written transmission.

Conclusion

The gijjak's expressive power lies not in its capacity for loud volume or rapid figuration but in its subtle management of tonal nuance and ornamentation - the micro-adjustments of bow contact, finger pressure, and hand rotation that transform a sequence of pitches into a vocalized phrase. University training of the gijjak has successfully standardized left-hand intonation and rhythmic precision, but it has often failed to transmit these deeper, tacit dimensions of performance. This study demonstrates that targeted aural immersion and anatomy-informed physical exercises significantly improve ornament execution and tonal quality, while the current over-reliance on notation actively impedes their development. For academic programs committed to sustaining Uzbek traditional instrumental art, the path forward involves a deliberate rebalancing of pedagogical resources: increasing contact hours for live demonstration and call-and-response work, redesigning curricula to prioritize listening-based learning in the first month

of each repertoire unit, and developing supplementary visual-tactile materials such as video close-ups of bow-hand kinematics. Ultimately, the goal of academic gijjak training should not be to replace the ustoz-shogird tradition but to adapt its core insights - imitation, repetition, and embodied listening - to the scale and structure of the university classroom. Only then will graduating performers carry forward not merely the notes of the maqom but its living, nuanced breath.

References

1. Madaminov, N. (2026). Gijjak technique as foundation for orchestral string unity. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(1), 35-39.

2. Boboyev, V. (2025). MICROTONAL INTONATION AND ORNAMENTATION IN THE KASHKAR RUBAB MAQOM REPERTOIRE. European Review of Contemporary Arts and Humanities, 1(4), 35-44.

3. Rakhimova, N. K. (2025). UZBEK MAQAM TRADITIONS AND THEIR ROLE IN MODERN PIANO PEDAGOGY AND PERFORMANCE PRACTICE. Oriental Art and Culture, 6(5), 314-318.

4. Abduvaliyevna, M. Y. (2025). THE YUNUS RAJABIY MAQOM ENSEMBLE: ARTISTIC HERITAGE, PERFORMANCE TRADITIONS AND CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE. World Bulletin of Education and Learning, 1(02), 377-388.

5. Bakhronovich, K. N. The First Twelve Maqom System OF Shashmaqom AND Its Components. American Journal of Technology and Applied Sciences, 43, 11-13.

6. Jarosz, K. (2025). Strings of Tradition: Music as Cultural Memory. In Museums in Central Asia and the Construction of National Narratives: Curating Identity (pp. 111-134). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

7. Jumaniyazov, S. R. (2026). Honored with the "Culture and Art Devotee" Badge: Recognizing Artistic Excellence and Cultural Leadership. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(3), 112-114.

8. Turgunbaev, R. (2026). Augmented stacks and virtual reference desks. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(3), 22-28.

9. Trigulova, A. K. (2026). The importance of the course "History of polyphony" in the professional training of masters of pedagogical universities. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(3), 115-117.

10. Gochbakarov, A. A. (2026). Characteristics of rubob prima in the system of Uzbekistan folk instruments. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(3), 45-48.

11. Xoliqnazarov, X. (2026). Yunus Rajabiy's legacy and the development of Maqom art. Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education, 2(3), 118-122.