

## BUILDING A CULTURE OF BELONGING IN THE INCLUSIVE CLASSROOM

A.Z.Surmiyev  
Termez State University

**Abstract:** The conceptualization of inclusive education has progressively evolved beyond the mere physical placement of students with diverse needs in general education settings. Contemporary discourse positions inclusion as a transformative process predicated on the active participation, valued membership, and holistic success of all learners. This article argues that the true measure of inclusive education is the cultivation of a robust culture of belonging within the classroom. Moving past structural and instructional adaptations, a culture of belonging is characterized by interdependent relationships, shared ownership, authentic participation, and the deliberate dismantling of barriers to psychological safety. Drawing upon theoretical frameworks from social constructivism, self-determination theory, and disability studies, this paper examines the foundational principles of such a culture. It then delineates the critical role of educator disposition, the intentional design of the social and learning environment, and the centrality of student voice and agency. The discussion confronts implementation challenges, including implicit bias, curricular rigidity, and the need for systemic support. The article concludes that fostering a culture of belonging is not a supplementary activity but the essential core of meaningful inclusion, requiring a deliberate shift from a focus on integration to one of community co-construction. This shift holds the potential to benefit all students by creating learning ecosystems rich in empathy, collaboration, and mutual respect.

**Keywords:** inclusive education, belonging, classroom culture, social inclusion, relational pedagogy, universal design for learning

### Introduction

Inclusive education, as enshrined in international mandates like the UNESCO Salamanca Statement and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, has become a central tenet of modern educational policy worldwide. Historically, implementation efforts have often concentrated on logistical and procedural dimensions: the allocation of support staff, the modification of physical environments, and the differentiation of curricular materials. While these elements are necessary, they are insufficient to realize the profound promise of inclusion. An overemphasis on mechanics can lead to a model of integration, where students are present in the classroom but remain peripheral to its social and academic core. This phenomenon, sometimes termed “social exclusion within academic inclusion,” reveals a critical gap between policy aspiration and lived experience.

This article posits that bridging this gap requires a fundamental reorientation towards building a culture of belonging within the inclusive classroom. Belonging, in this context, transcends superficial feelings of comfort. It is a deep-seated psychological and social construct where an individual perceives themselves as an accepted, valued, and indispensable member of a community, with their presence making a meaningful difference to the group. In an educational setting, a culture of belonging is the fertile ground from which academic engagement, risk-taking in learning, positive identity development, and resilience flourish. It is the antithesis of

environments where students, particularly those with disabilities, diverse cultural backgrounds, or unique learning profiles, feel they are guests conditional upon their ability to conform to a predetermined norm.

The primary objective of this paper is to articulate a coherent framework for understanding and developing this culture. It seeks to move the conversation beyond the “how-to” of accommodations and towards the “why” of human connection and community. The central thesis is that when educators intentionally cultivate a culture of belonging, the technical aspects of inclusion become more effective and sustainable because they are driven by a shared ethical commitment to every member’s worth and dignity. This exploration is situated within converging theoretical perspectives. Social constructivism underscores that learning and identity are forged through social interaction. Self-determination theory highlights the universal human needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness - the latter being the bedrock of belonging. Critical theories, including disability studies, challenge deficit-oriented paradigms and emphasize the value of diverse human experiences. The article will proceed by examining the core components of a belonging culture, the pivotal role of the educator, practical pedagogical orientations, and the inherent challenges in this transformative work.

### Methods

The methodology underpinning this conceptual article is a integrative scholarly synthesis. This approach does not report on primary empirical data collection but instead constructs a novel theoretical framework by critically analyzing and synthesizing existing literature across relevant fields. The aim is to provide a comprehensive, evidence-based argument for re-conceptualizing inclusive practice.

A systematic search and review of peer-reviewed literature was conducted using academic databases including ERIC, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar. Key search terms were employed in various combinations: “inclusive education,” “belonging,” “school belonging,” “classroom community,” “social inclusion,” “relational pedagogy,” “self-determination theory,” “disability studies in education,” “student voice,” and “universal design for learning.” The scope of the review prioritized literature from the past two decades to capture contemporary discourse, though seminal theoretical works were also included. Literature was selected for its direct relevance to defining belonging, detailing the social dynamics of classrooms, outlining teacher practices that foster community, and critiquing traditional models of inclusion.

The analysis was thematic and iterative. Recurring concepts, proposed practices, and identified barriers were extracted from the literature and grouped into coherent themes. These themes were then organized to construct the logical argument presented in this paper: defining the core principles of a culture of belonging, articulating the teacher’s role as architect and model, describing the classroom environment and pedagogical approaches that enact this culture, and finally, acknowledging systemic and attitudinal challenges. The synthesis is presented as a persuasive, scholarly argument intended to influence both academic discourse and professional practice by providing a consolidated vision of what inclusive education can and should aspire to be.

### Results

The synthesis of literature reveals that a culture of belonging in the inclusive classroom is not a singular intervention but a multidimensional ecosystem built upon several interdependent pillars. These pillars form the core findings of this analysis.

The first pillar is the foundational shift from a deficit to an ecological and strengths-based perspective. A culture of belonging cannot coexist with a lens that primarily identifies student shortcomings. Instead, it requires educators to view student diversity - including disabilities, linguistic backgrounds, and neurodiversity - as a form of human variation that enriches the learning community. This perspective aligns with the social model of disability, which distinguishes between impairment and the disabling barriers erected by society, including educational environments. In a belonging classroom, the focus shifts from “fixing” the student to “designing” the environment and instruction to be inherently accessible and responsive. Teachers actively seek out and celebrate the unique strengths, interests, and forms of intelligence each student brings. This public valuation communicates to every student that they have something of worth to contribute, which is a prerequisite for authentic membership.

The second pillar is the intentional fostering of interdependent relationships. Belonging is forged in the crucible of connection. This involves moving beyond teacher-student bonds to deliberately architect positive peer-to-peer relationships. The literature emphasizes structured collaboration not merely as an instructional strategy but as a social imperative. Practices such as carefully engineered cooperative learning groups, peer support networks, and collaborative problem-solving projects are essential. In these structures, success is mutually dependent, allowing students to perceive their classmates as resources and allies. The teacher’s role is to model empathy, active listening, and constructive conflict resolution, thereby establishing a relational ethic for the entire class. Rituals and routines that build shared history, such as morning meetings, community circles, and collective reflection, further solidify these bonds by creating a sense of shared narrative and identity.

The third pillar is the authentic amplification of student voice and agency. A culture of belonging is inherently democratic. Students cannot feel like true owners of a community in which they have no say. This means moving beyond tokenistic consultation to embedding meaningful choice and shared decision-making into daily classroom life. This can range from allowing students choice in how they demonstrate learning (e.g., through a presentation, essay, or creative project) to involving them in co-creating classroom norms, designing learning units, or solving community problems. For students who may use alternative communication methods, ensuring access to robust Augmentative and Alternative Communication and honoring their expressed preferences is a non-negotiable aspect of granting voice. When students see their ideas influencing the environment and their choices respected, their sense of autonomy and investment deepens.

The fourth pillar is the consistent implementation of flexible and universally designed pedagogy. A culture of belonging is undermined when instructional methods create predictable winners and losers. Universal Design for Learning provides a foundational framework for proactively designing instruction to meet the variability of all learners from the outset. By offering multiple means of engagement (the “why” of learning), representation (the “what” of learning), and action and expression (the “how” of learning), UDL reduces the need for retrofitted accommodations that can stigmatize. In a UDL-based classroom, the use of technology, varied

materials, and flexible groupings is the norm, not the exception. This normalizes diversity in learning pathways and sends a powerful message that there is no single “right” way to think, learn, or participate. This pedagogical flexibility is the practical engine that makes the philosophical commitment to belonging a daily reality.

### Discussion

The findings presented illustrate that building a culture of belonging is a complex, proactive, and deeply human endeavor. This discussion interprets these findings, considers their implications, and addresses significant challenges.

The transition from a culture of performance to a culture of belonging represents a paradigm shift with profound implications. In a performance culture, the primary currency is academic achievement measured against a narrow standard. Students who diverge from this standard often feel their value is conditional. A belonging culture, in contrast, makes community membership and growth the central currency. This does not negate academic rigor; rather, it creates the secure relational foundation necessary for students to engage with challenging material. When students feel safe from social or academic humiliation, they are more likely to ask questions, attempt difficult tasks, and persist through failure. Thus, belonging acts as a catalyst for, not a distraction from, deep learning. The literature suggests that for students with disabilities, a strong sense of school belonging correlates with improved academic outcomes, higher motivation, and better post-school transitions.

The role of the educator is transformed in this model. The teacher becomes a community architect, a facilitator of relationships, and a relentless identifier and remover of barriers. This requires a specific set of dispositions: reflective practice to examine one’s own biases, cultural humility to learn from students and families, and a stance of vulnerability where the teacher models not knowing and learning alongside students. Professional development must, therefore, extend beyond training in instructional accommodations to include training in relational pedagogy, restorative practices, and facilitative leadership. The teacher’s ability to “warm demand” - to hold high expectations while providing unwavering support - is critical in communicating belief in every student’s potential.

However, significant challenges impede this work. Implicit bias, whether related to disability, race, or class, can unconsciously influence teacher expectations and interactions, eroding belonging. Countering this requires ongoing, structured self-reflection and often, courageous conversations within school communities. Furthermore, standardized curricula and high-stakes accountability systems can create pressures that prioritize coverage over connection, forcing teachers into a rigid instructional pace that leaves little room for community-building or student-directed inquiry. Systemic support is crucial. School leaders must champion belonging as a core metric of success, allocate time for collaborative planning among general and special educators, and create structures that allow for flexibility. Finally, a culture of belonging cannot be confined to a single classroom; it must be a whole-school ethos to prevent students from experiencing a supportive space in one room and a marginalizing one in another.

The limitations of this conceptual analysis must be acknowledged. While it synthesizes a broad evidence base, it does not present new empirical data. The framework proposed requires rigorous testing in diverse educational contexts. Future research should employ longitudinal, mixed-methods designs to examine how specific teacher practices influence students’

longitudinal perceptions of belonging, particularly among marginalized groups, and how these perceptions correlate with holistic life outcomes.

### Conclusion

Inclusive education finds its highest expression not in checklists of accommodations but in the vibrant, complex, and intentionally nurtured culture of the classroom. This article has argued that the development of a culture of belonging is the essential, yet often overlooked, heart of meaningful inclusion. It is the element that transforms integration into membership, support into interdependence, and diversity from a challenge to be managed into a resource to be celebrated.

Building such a culture demands a conscious departure from models that focus solely on academic or physical access. It requires educators to embrace the roles of community architect, relationship facilitator, and barrier remover. It is built daily through strengths-based language, the intentional design of interdependent learning experiences, the authentic sharing of power with students, and pedagogical flexibility through frameworks like Universal Design for Learning. While formidable challenges exist, from implicit bias to systemic constraints, the imperative is clear.

The pursuit of a culture of belonging is ultimately about recognizing the fundamental humanity of every student. It asserts that before a student can effectively engage with curriculum, they must feel secure, valued, and connected. When classrooms become places where every learner can unequivocally state, “I am seen, I am valued, and I matter here,” the true promise of inclusive education is realized. This is not merely an idealistic goal but a practical necessity for preparing all students to thrive in, and contribute to, a diverse and interconnected world. The journey towards this culture is the most critical work of the inclusive classroom.

### References

1. Xolnazarovich, B. X. (2024). Badiiy Matn Va uning Lingvopoetik Xususiyatlari. *Miasto Przyszłości*, 51, 298-303.
2. Holnazarovich, B. H. (2020). Explanation of yurt building terms with through semantic methods. *Journal Homepage*: <http://www.ijmra.us>, 9, 06.
3. Berdiev, H. (2020). Лингвопоэтика Масалалари Тадқиқида “Девону Луғотит Турк” Нинг Ўрни. *Scienceproblems.uz*, 2(2), 7-7.
4. Бердиев, Х. Х. (2016). Метафорические термины в лексике юртовозведения. *Язык в сфере профессиональной коммуникации*.—Екатеринбург, 2016, 73-76.
5. Xolnazarovich, B. X. (2024). “DEVON-U LUG ‘OTIT TURK” VA UNDAGI ADABIY PARACHALAR TADQIQI HAQIDA. FAN VA TA'LIM INTEGRATSIYASI (INTEGRATION OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION), 2(3), 115-120.
6. Xolnazarovich, B. X. (2024). THE POSITION OF “DEVON-U LUG ‘OTIT TURK” IN RESEARCHING LINGVOPOETII ISSUES. FAN VA TA'LIM INTEGRATSIYASI (INTEGRATION OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION), 2(2), 41-48.
7. Berdiyev, X. X. (2024). «DEVON-U LUG ‘OTIT TURK» DAGI SHE'RLARDA HOJIB VA RADIF SAN'ATLARI. *Philological research: language, literature, education*, 4(4), 13-15.



8. Odinayeva, F., & Berdiyev, X. (2024). SOME COMMENTS ON THE LINGUISTIC AND POETIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SHAVKAT RAHMAN'S POEMS. *Modern Science and Research*, 3(5), 101-103.
9. Xolnazarovich, B. X. (2025). FOLKLOR ASARLARIDA O 'TOVSOZLIKKA DOIR BADIY MA'LUMOTLARNING IFODALANISHI. *Ustozlar uchun*, 1(1), 581-585.
10. Бердиев, Х. Х., & Ашурова, З. Ш. (2020). К ПРОБЛЕМЕ СОПОСТАВИТЕЛЬНОГО АНАЛИЗА ТЕРМИНОВ ЮРТОСТРОИТЕЛЬСТВА. ББК 72+ 74 М43, 488.
11. Бердиев, Х. Х. (2017). ЮРТОСТРОИТЕЛЬСТВО: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ЗАДАЧИ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ ОТРАСЛЕВОЙ ЛЕКСИКИ. In *Духовность и ментальность: экология языка и культуры на рубеже XX-XXI веков* (pp. 32-35).
12. Sheraliyevna, N. D. (2023). BADIY ASARLARDAGI SHAXSGA TEGISHLI ZOOMORFIZMLARNING METAFORA YORDAMIDA SHAKLLANISHI. 2023 yil 6-son (142/1), 6(139), 5-10.
13. Nuritdinova, M. F. Q. (2026). Ways to use start-up projects in education and their impact on student competencies. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 64-68.
14. Dang, N. T. (2026). Implementing Project-Based Learning in the Vietnamese Classroom Context. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 40-43.
15. Yuldashev, A. (2026). Rhythmic sensitivity in conducting, tactometric models, and methods of working with the score. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 7-9.
16. Nematov, A. (2025). Modern approaches to intelligent automation of agricultural technological processes based on artificial intelligence. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 26-29.
17. Sultanov, I. R. (2025). Model predictive control of a distillation column based on a MIMO model. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 41-45.
18. Toshmatov, S. T. (2025). Analysis of distortion sources in three-stage Class B audio power amplifiers. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 35-40.
19. Jalilov, M. (2025). Integration of XArm robots with Tenzo force sensors for intelligent manipulation systems. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 17-21.
20. Sabirov, U. K. (2025). Application of multi-criteria decision-making models in dairy product storage processes. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 30-34.
21. Sokhibova, Z. M. (2025). Chemical processing of silicon semiconductor materials and their physical fundamentals. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 22-25.
22. Kholikov, A. S. (2025). Distance and computer-based teaching system of physics for engineering personnel working in industry. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 8-11.
23. Kholmurotov, B. T. (2025). Determining the dynamics of the drying agent in the drying chamber. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(SI1), 55-60.

24. Kholiqova, M. K. (2025). Methodology for Implementing Project-Based Learning in Developing Independent and Creative Thinking of Students. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 67-75.
25. Ashurova, S. B. (2025). The importance of international educational programs in the development of vocational education. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 58-60.
26. Fayziyev, A. N. (2025). Clinic of immunogenetic conditions of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in children. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 11-15.
27. Fayziyev, A. N. (2025). Immunogenetic markers and clinical expression in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 20-24.
28. Alieva, N., Rasuleva, M., & Xalilova, S. (2025). Analysis of artificial intelligence integration in modern learning systems. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 83-86.
29. Inogamova, N. (2025). The use of artificial intelligence for positive pedagogical purposes in teaching french as a foreign language to students of international law and international economic relations. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 25-27.
30. Djuraeva, Z. (2025). Cultural and lexical features of gastronomic metaphors. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 76-79.
31. Jurayeva, S. (2025). Fashion design as a self-branding strategy: methods of personal image building by media influencers. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 3-5.
32. Ruziokhunov, D., & Yuldasheva, U. (2025). The role of government tax regulations on attracting foreign investments. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 6-10.
33. Djuraeva, Z. (2025). Rendering the names of dishes in French and Uzbek and their comparative analysis. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(8), 80-82.
34. Nurullayeva, A. R. (2025). The role of information and communication technologies in the educational process. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(5), 21-25.
35. Tolipov, M. S. (2025). The Relevance of Green Technology Integration in International Governance Processes. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(5), 3-6.
36. Asatullayev, R. B., & Latifova, S. N. (2025). Prescriptions and drugs. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 1(7), 50-52.
37. Yunusov, G. O. (2026). The living circle performance and communitas in Uzbek folklore ensembles. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 16-20.
38. Hafizova, H. Y. Q. (2026). Methodology for organizing chemistry lessons in general secondary schools based on a competency-based approach. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 10-15.
39. Nuriddinova, G. (2026). Public libraries and the early development of reading competence. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 44-50.
40. Nuritdinova, M. F. Q. (2026). Ways to use start-up projects in education and their impact on student competencies. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 64-68.

41. Voxobova, M. S. (2026). Secondary Impairments Arising as a Result of Delayed Intellectual Development. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 60-63.
42. Latifova, M. Y. (2026). Cultivating inclusive practice within school ecosystems. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 69-73.
43. Suyarov, N. T. (2026). Ethnocultural competence assessment criteria: reflective practice and openness to different cultural expressions. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 29-34.
44. Madaminov, N. (2026). Gijjak technique as foundation for orchestral string unity. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 35-39.
45. Mamatov, J. (2026). Pedagogical strategies for conducting the contemporary Uzbek instrumental ensemble. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 21-25.
46. Sarimsoqova, M. O. Q. (2026). An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Adaptive Methods on the Effectiveness of Specialization Modules. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 51-53.
47. Asqarova, M. (2026). Homil Yakubov's views on Oybek's work. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 26-28.
48. Talaboyev, A. (2026). Integrating tradition and pedagogy in Uzbek vocal music education. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 3-6.
49. Xalilov, T. (2026). A pedagogical model for ethical-moral development in adolescents through national music: structural components, age-specific considerations, and implementation criteria. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 2(1), 54-59.
50. Sulamidinov, A. L. (2026). Map consistency and long-term localization in monocular SLAM. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 52-57.
51. Abdullayev, I. N., Jiyanbayev, O. E., & Nasimov, R. K. (2026). Early Detection and Prognostic Assessment of Ischemic Heart Diseases Based on Multimodal Artificial Intelligence Algorithms. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 33-42.
52. Abdullayev, I. N. (2026). Generative Adversarial Network-Driven Segmentation of Myocardial Fibrosis in Transthoracic Echocardiography. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 43-51.
53. Usmanova, M., & Fayzullayeva, M. E. Q. (2026). Using the opportunities of artistic pedagogy in teaching the subject of "education". *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 29-32.
54. Karimov, M. B. A., Rakhimov, F. K. O., & Muradov, R. M. (2026). Analysis of research on fiber waste processing technology and its improvement. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 3-11.
55. Usmonova, M. B., & Eshboriev, S. (2026). Content of the work of a social educator with children left without parental care. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 25-28.
56. Usmanova, M., & Akbarova, S. (2026). Factors in the development of teachers' professional qualities in the process of pedagogical activity. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 12-15.
57. Boymirzayeva, D. M. Q. (2026). Family-School Cooperation as a Factor Ensuring Children's Social Adaptation. *Technical Science Integrated Research*, 2(1), 16-19.